**Peer Evaluation**

**Instructions:**

1. In the table below list the members in your group.
2. Indicate “Self” against your name
3. Rate the contribution of each member in your group on a scale of 1 to 10.
4. If you assign a score of less than 8 to a group member, then you are indicating that this member did **NOT** contribute sufficiently and should not receive full credit relative to the other group members.
5. If you believe that one member did an exceptional work/led the group/or played a crucial role in the success of the group, please indicate this as well.
6. In the comments, explain your rating - this is mandatory. Please be as detailed as you can
7. Your evaluation will not be shared with other group members. Honest and fair feedback is appreciated.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Peer Evaluations | Contribution (1 - 10) |
| Pavan Sai Krishna Gorantla | self |
| Nishanth Mathew | 10 |
| Vishal Taneja | 9 |
| Aarya | 9 |

**Comments**

We had a team meeting and divided the work among ourselves. Vishal and Aarya volunteered for doing initial data exploration. Nishant offered to run the regression models and we finalized the models that could give us good insights about the data. I took the responsibility of collating all the findings and preparing the final report. Although we had initial hiccups due to our conflicting schedules, we managed to overcome them and finished the report in time and had a good learning experience. Nishanth Mathew took initiative for arranging our first meeting. Since the final report duty fell on me, I had been tracking the work progress on a constant basis.